UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/825,066	04/15/2004	Alberto Sid	226/3	. 7220
24101 BRUCE E. LIL	7590 06/04/200 LING	EXAMINER		
LILLING & LI		VO, TUYET THI		
P.O. BOX 560 GOLDEN BRIDGE, NY 10526			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·		2821	
		I	NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/04/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

bruce@lilling.com sean@lilling.com

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/825,066	SID, ALBERTO				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tuyet Vo	2821				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
	Period for Reply						
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAIL	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 February 2007.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
3)⊠	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>2-17,19-35 and 38-40</u> is/are pending	in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)⊠	Claim(s) 2-17,38 and 39 is/are objected to.						
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>19-35 and 40</u> are subject to restriction	and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	ion Papers		•				
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	∋ 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
		•					
Attachmen	t(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) 🔯 Inforr	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	ate atent Application					
	r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/15/04</u> .	6) Other:					

QUAYLE ACTION

Remarks

The applicant's reply filed March 12, 2007 to select the species 1 for examining in responding to the Office Action made February 16, 2007. Accordingly, claims 2-17, 19-35 and 38-40 are currently presented in the application.

Response to Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention.

Species 1, claims 2-17, 38 and 39; related to addressable lighting device (not changeable address) including means for **only switching the** addressable lighting device into a programming mode.

Species 2, claims 19-33; related to addressable lighting device having electronic address being **changed or set via a non-mechanical switch**.

Species 3, claims 34, 35 and 40; related to method of programming addressable lighting device including switch means for switching **between** a programming mode and an operating mode.

Species 1, 2 and 3 relate as subcombinations usable together.

Application/Control Number: 10/825,066

Art Unit: 2821

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 2-17, 19-35 and 38-40 in the reply filed on February 16, 2007 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the independent claims of application are generic and do not cause distinct. This is not found persuasive because variations among the species 1-3 presented in a non obviousness manner as noted above. Such unobvious variation between groups of claim inventions causes distinct inventions and leads to different search areas.

Page 3

Therefore, the requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 38 recites the limitation "said remote control means" and "said address field" in lines 9 and 14-15 respectively. There are insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.
- 6. Claim 34 recites the limitation "said incoming signal" in lines 8. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

7. Claims 2-17 are also rejected due to their virtual dependency on the defective claim 38.

Page 4

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. Claims 2-17, 38 and 39 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 9. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior fails to establish an addressable lighting device and control system comprising a remote control means; at least one addressable lighting device with an electronic address and having a detecting means for detecting signals from said remote control, and a means for switching said addressable lighting device into a programming mode; a microcontroller; and a lighting means controlled by said addressable lighting device; wherein said remote control means transmitting said signal as a single channel signal in a serially transmitted data protocol manner, and further comprising means for synchronizing said lighting devices to signal a beginning of transmission of said serially transmitted data protocol; and means for generating said serially transmitted data protocol with an address field wherein a first byte of the address field being different than zero, and with an intensity level field corresponding to an intensity of a specific address defined by said address field as required in claim 38.

Citation of pertinent prior art

10. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicants' disclosure. See prior arts/references listed on the PTO-892 form attached.

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tuyet Vo whose telephone number is 571 272 1830.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Douglas Owens can be reached on 571 272 1662. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 571 273 8300 for regular communications and for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272 2800.

Information regarding the status of an application or status information for publicing/unpublicing applications may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at toll free 866-217-9197.

Page 6

Tuyet Vo

Primary Examiner

May 27, 2007